Number 2

A Speech without doores:

OR,

ANIMADVERSIONS

Upon an unlate and [illegible] swer to the Scotch Papers, Printed under the name of Mr. [illegible] which while it offereth to reach [illegible] Scotch Papers, doth indeed strike at the PARLIAMENT, and in effect [illegible] the Kingdome of ENGLAND.

PROV. 28. 2.

For the transgression of a Land, many are the Princes thereof.

I shall not make my Answer to this as [illegible] I am not only [illegible] but King. Yea, I will yet take me to a narrower compasse. I am one, who have adhered to the Parliament all along from the very beginning of the war, and I have served them [illegible] solving still to be for them, in that which is just and right, against any Person or Nation in the world: And as to my meaning in this particular, tis not to write in defence of the

Scotch [illegible] (let their Papers speak for themselves) if they can: and if they cannot, I have nothing to say for them, and [illegible] I will [illegible] *[illegible]*, but I write in defence of the Honour of the [illegible] and of the interest of *England*, which have received a dangerous wound by this printed Paper, which comes abroad as a Speech spoken by Mr. *[illegible]* in the House of Commons. I doe not presume to examine or [illegible] in any thing spoken within those walls, I speak to the printed Paper, which for any thing I know may be supposititious, or at least different from the Originall. Sure (if I mis-heard not) tis not printed as it was spoken [illegible] *[illegible]* pretended in the Title Page [illegible] what is become of the Dog that is not worth the [illegible] and what is become of that other passage concerning the [illegible] a Comedy in *Oxford*, concerning the interest [illegible] the King's Throne? the determination whereof was so difficult, that some thought it best, not to erect it at all. Whatever was spoken in the House, I suppose tis no Privilege for a Member of Parliament to print what he list against the Honour of the Parliament and interest of the Kingdome: neither can it be a breach of the privilege of a Member, and much less the breach of a privilege of Parliament, to vindicate the Honour and Interest of Parliament, which is my present worke, and so I come to it, without further prefacing.

My Animadversions upon the Paper are these. First of all it seems to the view of the world, a champion coming from [illegible] Parliament, but [illegible] wonderfully in the [illegible] of his employ: [illegible] together three large [illegible] of the Scotch Papers into this Syllogisme.

[illegible] the Kingdome of Scotland hath an interest in this Kingdome, there they may dispose of him.

But the Kingdome of Scotland hath an interest in their Kingdome.

Therefore in England they may dispose of him.

The Paper runs along in answer to this Syllogisme, and here is a man of straw set up to be buffeted. I will concur

with

with M. [illegible]
the Scotch Paper [illegible]
in their Paper, [illegible]
that either the [illegible]
King, [illegible]
him. And if there [illegible]
answering, their Arg[illegible]

Whatsoever is by Covenant, Treaty, [illegible]
tions of Joint interest, or [illegible]
domes, ought not to be [illegible]
and consent of both Kingdomes for [illegible]
But the person of the King, whether in [illegible]
by Covenant, Treaty, and the very [illegible]
interest, and [illegible]
Therefore the [illegible]
land, [illegible] not to be [illegible]
and consent of both Kingdomes for the [illegible]

This is the summe of what the Scotch Paper [illegible] point which is here prosecuted [illegible] ved by parts: but whether it was for the honour of the Parliament, that one of their Members should Answer [illegible] the Scotch say not, and not Answer what they say, let [illegible] men judge.

To one better purpose is the distinction [illegible] King in *Abstracto*, and the King as [illegible] it maketh *Persona* to be *Concretum*, I [illegible] by [illegible] of Logick) doth plainly [illegible] from the Scotch what is [illegible] tion. For the Scotch Paper distinguishes the [illegible] Vote of the honourable House, (Some [illegible] fore them) not against the Authority, Power, [illegible] King, but upon the Person of the King; and [illegible] pose against their Arguments brought, To prove a Joint and equall interest of both Kingdomes in the [illegible] which by Covenant [illegible] preserve, as well as his Authority [illegible] pretended Answer, in stead of answering or [illegible]

[illegible] Was this now for the [illegible] *and said Person* [illegible] *Liberty* [illegible] *England*, [illegible] *Scotland* must be disposed by the Supreme Power of that Country, without the advice and consent of the Parliament of *England*: And was not this well pleaded for the interest of the Parliament and Kingdome of *England*? I think not.

[illegible] yet I made it said, that the Kingdome of *England* [illegible] in interests from *Scotland*, as *Spain*: And [illegible] of interests in one Religion, one Covenant, one King, one Cause, one Warre, turne in end to no more [illegible] may *Scotland* make a Peace without the [illegible] of the Kingdome of *England* as well as *Spain* may? [illegible] may *Scotland* enter into a Confederacy with a Forrain Kingdome, without asking advice or consent from this Kingdom, as well as *Spain* may? And was not this well provided for the interest of *England*? was it [illegible] well by [illegible] whether it was for the honour of the Parliament [illegible]

I turn over to *Pag. 16.* where I read thus, *I shall make this* [illegible] *good Law, that let the Person of any Nation* (that were I confesse *Persona Concreta*) *under the sunne,* which [illegible] *with England* [illegible] *England*; *that person* [illegible] *England*: *For he being protected by the Lawes of England, he becomes thereby subject to those Lawes*. Then must any Ambassadour coming from another Kingdome [illegible] *expecting sufficient protection in England by the Law of Nations* [illegible] *by the Lawes of England*; without such protection (saith this Paper) *you know my life* [illegible] *him*: nor can he have such protection except he become wholly a Subject of *England*: And was not the Honour of the Parliament and Kingdom of *England* in this well [illegible] which by [illegible] *Papists* and *Liberals* [illegible] *all and hewell* [illegible]

pro

pro tempore, *and the other* [illegible]
totally obstruct the operation [illegible]
King can [illegible]
dom, but such [illegible]
spirit of that Parliament [illegible]
which rule (being at least [illegible]
subject to the Parliament) [illegible]
oners into *Scotland*, these Commissioners [illegible]
to the Orders and Commands of the [illegible]
must be disposed of by the sole Authority [illegible]
in that Kingdome. And is not this fine for the [illegible]
Parliament? He that will make it stand well [illegible]
Magnus mihi erit Apollo.

But come on *Pag. 7. I do affirme, that if a King of Scotland should have come into England, before the union of the Kingdomes, he had been instantly a subject of England, a person to be disposed of by the sole Authoritie of the Lawes of* England. By which Principle, the Prince of *Wales* now in *France*, is become a Subject of *France*, and his Person to be disposed of by the sole Authority of the Lawes of *France*; [illegible] the King and Parliament have not Authority to recall him. And is not this a good salve for the Honour of the Parliament? O brave!

Pag. 8. We cannot admit of any regality in the Person of a King of Scotland *coming into* England. [illegible] *to be* Rex *but in* Regno. And by just Analogy the Parliament of *England* cannot be acknowledged a Parliament of *England* only; neither can their Commissioners in any other Kingdome or State, be admitted to propound, declare, treat or conclude in name of both Houses. And is [illegible] to for the Honour of the Parliament? It is not.

Pag. 9. If they (the Scotch) *be our fellows, why come they not to our Parliament?* Therefore if it can be made to appeare that they are our fellowes, equalls, [illegible] they come to our Parliaments. Lo here, how well the Honour of Parliament is preserved!

Here follows also a Story of *John* King of *England*, [illegible]

Duke of *Normandy* to appeare at *Paris*: Whereunto the Eng-
lish said, *if the Duke of Normandy did go, the King of*
England must go, and if the Duke of Normandy *were beheaded,*
they knew well enough what would become of the King of Eng-
land. It was resolved by the Lawyers, that if *John* had been in
Normandy at the time of his summonds, he ought to have ap-
peared, but not so, being in *England*. Where beside the vast dis-
proportion of comparing the case of one who was King of one
Kingdome and Subject of another, with his case who is a free
Member of two free Kingdomes: the Narration doth also ex-
tremely differ from the Scotch Paper, which it pretendeth to
oppose; for they answer the English now, just as the English
did then answer the French; that is, If this present King of
England must be disposed of by the sole Authoritie of both
Houses of Parliament, what shall then become of the Person
of the King of *Scotland*? where is then the Scotch King *in Con-*
crete? If the notion of a King *in Abstracto* be sufficient against
the Scotch in this debate; sure it was as strong against the
English then. Behold, what a rationall account is here given
for the single and sole Authoritie of the Parliament of *Eng-*
land, to dispose of the King of both Kingdoms! If this be well
pleaded, I confesse I have lost my judgement.

Pag. 12. tells us the Kingdomes are now contending about
the Kings Person, not who should have it, but who should not
have it. Which reflecteth strongly upon the Parliament, and
will appeare that forasmuch as they decline or shunne to have
the Kings Person, either they did not wisely, or not upright-
ly, when they Voted that his Person is to be disposed of as
both Houses shall think fit. And is not this much for the Ho-
nour of Parliament? Is it not?

Pag. 14. Tis desired to be considered, whether (as the case
now stands) *The Kings safety be not incompatible with the*
safety of the Common-Wealth? I will not here relate what is *vox*
populi concerning that Gentlemans old discontents at the
King; but I blesse my selfe to thinke where we now are, when
after so many Oaths, Protestations, Declarations, and Cove-
nants, as for other ends, so for the safety of the Kings Person,

tis

tis notwithstanding endeavoured [illegible] that there is a great doubt made in Parliament, [illegible] Kings Safety is not incompatible with [illegible] mon-wealth. And is this now compatible with the [illegible] of Parliament?

In fine, I do not know whether the Scotch may like the Speech of M. Chaloner, for the matter of it, [illegible] able Answer; yet, such as in the opinion of [illegible] ders, fortifieth their Papers not a little. But I [illegible] it have a favourable aspect from the Parliament, [illegible] matter, or for divers scurrilous expressions in it, [illegible] cannot easlie believe to have been spoken in the [illegible] Senate: fo none (I think) will like well pr[illegible] guage, but those who desire to put the Kingdom [illegible] from which misery, and from all the Plots both of [illegible] and Malignants, Good Lord deliver us, *Amen.*

FINIS.

FINIS